



APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/220,990	12/23/98	Millis	62-251

EXAMINER	
WENPENG CHEN	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2624	16

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeffrey S. Melcher (3) JEFFREY A. SIMENAUER
(2) Russell L. Millis (4) Wenpeng Chen

Date of Interview 6/6/01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: Figs 1-5

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 51, 127, 237, 294, 307, 313, 71

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant explained how to implement Claims 51 and 71. The Examiner agreed that the explanation overcomes 112-1 reject of Claim 51 and 71. Sections 101 rejections were overruled except for Claims 307-322, also Claims 127, 237, 294 and their dependent claims with questions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Wenpeng Chen
6/6/01